

Some remarks on adiabatic time evolution  
and quasi-static processes in  
translation-invariant quantum systems

Vojkan Jaksic  
McGill University

Based on joint work Claude-Alain Pillet and Clément Tauber

December 12, 2022

## ADIABATIC THEOREM IN QUANTUM MECHANICS

- Born and Fock (1928), Kato (1958)

- Setting:  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $H$ ,  $C^2$  self-adjoint map

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto V(\tau) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

- Time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$H(\tau) = H + V(\tau).$$

- $T > 0$ , the non-autonomous Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t\psi(t) = (H + V(t/T))\psi(t),$$

$$\psi(s) = f \in \text{Dom}(H), s, t \in [0, T].$$

- Solution:  $\psi(t) = U_T^{s/T \rightarrow t/T} f$ ,  $U_T$  unitary propagator associated to

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto TH(\tau)$$

- Gap condition: For  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,  $E(\tau)$  is an eigenvalue of finite multiplicity of  $H(\tau) = H + V(\tau)$  such that

$$\inf_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \text{dist}(E(\tau), \text{sp}(H(\tau)) \setminus \{E(\tau)\}) > 0$$

$P(\tau)$  associated projection.

## KATO'S THEOREM

As  $T \uparrow \infty$ ,

$$\sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} \|(I - P(\tau))U_T^0 \rightarrow \tau P(0)\| = O(T^{-1}).$$

Many refinements (under gap condition): Avron-Seiler-Yaffe, Nenciu, Joye-Pfister, Joye, J-Segert ...

The ultimate refinement dispenses with gap assumption: Avron-Elgart (1999), with an important technical comment by Teufel (2001).

## AVRON-ELGART ADIABATIC THEOREM WITHOUT A GAP

**Assumption:** There exists a  $C^2$  map

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto P(\tau) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

such that, for Lebesgue a.e.  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,  $P(\tau)$  is the orthogonal projection onto the eigenspace of a finite multiplicity eigenvalue of  $H(\tau)$ .

**Theorem:** Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \|(I - P(\tau))U_T^0 \rightarrow \tau P(0)\| = 0.$$

# ADIABATIC THEOREM IN QUANTUM STATISTICAL MECHANICS

- $(\mathcal{O}, \alpha)$   $C^*$ -dynamical system,  $\alpha^t = e^{t\delta}$ .
- $\omega$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -KMS state at inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$  if

$$\omega(AB) = \omega(B\alpha^{i\beta}(A)).$$

- $V = V^* \in \mathcal{O}$ . Perturbed dynamics  $\alpha_V^t = e^{t\delta_V}$ ,  
 $\delta_V = \delta + i[V, \cdot]$ .
- $\omega_V$  the perturbed  $(\alpha_V, \beta)$ -KMS state.

The quantum dynamical system  $(\mathcal{O}, \tau_V, \omega_V)$  is called ergodic/has the property of return to equilibrium if

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T \omega_V(B^* \tau_V^t(A) B) dt = \omega_V(B^* B) \omega_V(A)$$

for all  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}$ .

Robinson (1973).

Renewal of interest 1996– with focus on Pauli-Fierz systems (finite quantum system coupled to a free gas thermal reservoir)

## NON-AUTONOMOUS SETTING

- Time-dependent perturbation:  $C^2$  self-adjoint map

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto V(\tau) \in \mathcal{O}$$

- For  $T > 0$ , we consider the Cauchy problem for the non-autonomous Heisenberg equation

$$\partial_t \gamma^t(A) = \gamma^t \circ \delta_{V(t/T)}(A),$$

$$\gamma^s(A) = A \in \text{Dom}(\delta), s, t \in [0, T].$$

$$\delta_{V(t/T)} = \delta + i[V(t/T), \cdot].$$



- Solution:  $\gamma^t = \alpha_T^{s/T \rightarrow t/T}$ , where

$$[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \ni (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \alpha_T^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}$$

is the propagator generated by the time-dependent derivation

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto T(\delta + i[V(\tau), \cdot])$$

$$\alpha_T^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}(A) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n \int_{\sigma \leq \sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_n \leq \tau} i[\alpha^{(\sigma_1 - \sigma)T}(V(\sigma_1)),$$

$$i[\dots, i[\alpha^{(\sigma_n - \sigma)T}(V(\sigma_n)), \alpha^{(\tau - \sigma)T}(A)] \dots]] d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_n.$$

## ADIABATIC THEOREM IN QSM (FOR LOCAL PERURBATIONS)

**Theorem:** If, for Lebesgue a.e.  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , the quantum dynamical system  $(\mathcal{O}, \alpha_{V(\tau)}, \omega_{V(\tau)})$  has the property of return to equilibrium, then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in [0, 1]} \|\omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} - \omega_{V(\tau)}\| = 0.$$

The proof (Fröhlich and Abou-Salem, J-Pillet) is a simple combination of the Avron–Elgart gapless adiabatic theorem and Araki's perturbation theory of the modular structure.

The last theorem has been a starting starting point of other developments:

Landauer principle and its refinements

Crooks fluctuation relation

Characterization of the KMS condition in terms of adiabaticity (Narnhofer-Thirring (1982)...)

.... Forthcoming review by Benoist-Fraas-J-Pillet (2023).

The entropic extension of QSM adiabatic theorem play particularly important role. The relative entropy of two density matrices is

$$S(\rho|\nu) = \text{tr}(\rho(\log \rho - \log \nu)) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \nu\|.$$

Extension to the general setting of QSM goes back to Araki (1976,1978).

**Theorem.** The following statement statements are equivalent:

1.

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} S \left( \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} \middle| \omega_{V(\tau)} \right) = 0.$$

2.

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \in [0,1]} \left\| \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} - \omega_{V(\tau)} \right\| = 0.$$

**Theorem.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. For Lebesgue a.e.  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau}(\partial_\tau V(\tau)) = \omega_{V(\tau)}(\partial_\tau V(\tau)).$$

2. For all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} S \left( \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} \Big| \omega_{V(\tau)} \right) = 0.$$

3. For all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \|\omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} - \omega_{V(\tau)}\| = 0.$$

**Proofs.** The entropy balance equation

$$\begin{aligned} & S \left( \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \tau} \middle| \omega_{V(\tau)} \right) \\ &= \beta \int_0^\tau \left( \omega_{V(0)} \circ \alpha_T^{0 \rightarrow \sigma} - \omega_{V(\sigma)} \right) (\partial_\sigma V(\sigma)) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

## QUANTUM SPIN SYSTEMS

- $\mathcal{H}_x = \mathbb{C}^M$  single spin Hilbert space,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .
- $\mathcal{F}$ —the collection of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .  
For  $X \in \mathcal{F}$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}_X = \otimes_{x \in X} \mathcal{H}_x, \quad \mathfrak{U}_X = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_X)$$

$\mathfrak{U} \equiv$  the inductive limit  $C^*$ -algebra over the family  $\{\mathfrak{U}_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{F}}$ .

- $\mathcal{S}$ —the set of states on  $\mathfrak{U}$ .  
 $\mathcal{S}_I$ — the set of translationally invariant states.

- $\Lambda$  denotes the box centred at the origin.
- $\rho_\Lambda$  – the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathfrak{U}_\Lambda$ . The specific entropy of  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}_I$ :

$$s(\rho) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{S(\rho_\Lambda)}{|\Lambda|}$$

$$S(\rho_\Lambda) = -\text{Tr}(\rho_\Lambda \log \rho_\Lambda).$$

$$s(\rho) \in [0, \log M].$$

- The specific relative entropy of  $\rho, \omega \in \mathcal{S}_I$  is

$$s(\rho|\omega) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{S(\rho_\Lambda|\omega_\Lambda)}{|\Lambda|}$$

$$S(\rho_\Lambda|\omega_\Lambda) = \text{Tr}(\rho_\Lambda(\log \rho_\Lambda - \log \omega_\Lambda)).$$



- Translationally invariant interaction  $\{\Phi(X)\}_{X \in \mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\Phi(X) = \Phi^*(X) \in \mathfrak{U}_X$ , satisfying

$$\|\Phi\|_r = \sum_{0 \in X} e^{r(|X|-1)} \|\Phi(X)\| < \infty$$

The set  $\mathcal{B}^r$  of such interactions is a Banach space.

From now on  $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}^r$ .

- Local Hamiltonians

$$H_\Lambda(\Phi) = \sum_{X \subset \Lambda} \Phi(X)$$

- Dynamics:

$$\tau_\Phi^t(A) = \lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} e^{itH_\Lambda(\Phi)} A e^{-itH_\Lambda(\Phi)}$$

## SPECIFIC ENERGY OBSERVABLE

$$E_\Phi = \sum_{X \ni 0} \frac{\Phi(X)}{|X|} \in \mathfrak{U}$$

One has

$$\lim_{\Lambda \uparrow \mathbb{Z}^d} \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \omega(H_\Lambda(\Phi)) = \omega(E_\Phi),$$

for any  $\omega \in \mathcal{S}_I$ .  $E_\Phi$  is the **specific energy** observable of the interaction  $\Phi$ .

## TIME-DEPENDENT QUANTUM SPIN SYSTEMS

We now consider  $C^1$  time-dependent interaction

$$\Psi : [0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto \Psi_\tau \in \mathcal{B}^r.$$

Local time-dependent Hamiltonians

$$H_\Lambda(\Psi_\tau) = \sum_{X \subseteq \Lambda} \Psi_\tau(X)$$

generate a non-autonomous  $C^*$ -dynamics  $\alpha_{\Psi, \Lambda}^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}$  on  $\mathfrak{U}$ .

Thermodynamic limit  $\Rightarrow$  propagator  $\alpha_{\Psi}^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}$ .

Entropy conservation (Lanford-Robinson): For any  $\nu \in \mathcal{S}_I(\mathfrak{U})$  and  $\sigma, \tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$s(\nu) = s(\nu \circ \alpha_{\Psi}^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}).$$

We consider a map

$$[0, 1] \ni \tau \mapsto (\nu_\tau, \Psi_\tau) \in \mathcal{S}_I(\mathfrak{U}) \times \mathcal{B}^r$$

such that  $\nu_\tau$  is  $\beta$ -KMS for the "frozen"  $\alpha_{\Psi_\tau}^T$ ,  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . We assume  $\beta \ll 1$ .

We further consider the non-autonomous time evolution on  $\mathfrak{U}$  defined by the Cauchy problem

$$\partial_t \gamma^t(A) = \gamma^t \circ \delta_{\Psi_{t/T}}(A), \quad \gamma^s(A) = A \in \mathfrak{U}_{\text{loc}}$$

$s, t \in [0, T]$  in the adiabatic limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

A rescaling of the time variables  $s$  and  $t$  gives

$$\gamma^t = \alpha_{T\Psi}^{s/T \rightarrow t/T},$$

where  $\{\alpha_{T\Psi}^{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}\}_{\sigma, \tau \in [0, 1]}$  is the propagator generated by  $T\Psi$ .

## THEOREM

The following statements are equivalent:

1. For Lebesgue a.e.  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_0 \circ \alpha_{T\Psi}^{0 \rightarrow \tau}(\partial_\tau E_{\Psi_\tau}) = \nu_\tau(\partial_\tau E_{\Psi_\tau}).$$

2. For all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} s(\nu_0 \circ \alpha_{T\Psi}^{0 \rightarrow \tau} | \nu_\tau) = 0.$$

3. For all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_0 \circ \alpha_{T\Psi}^{0 \rightarrow \tau} = \nu_\tau.$$

Moreover, any of the above statements implies that

$$s(\nu_\tau) = s(\nu_0)$$

for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ .

Severe constraint on possibly validity of adiabatic theorem in the quantum spin system/fermion setting.

No go observation: Adiabaticity and approach to equilibrium are incompatible (talk at Polytechnico, June 2022).

## TOPICS FOR DISCUSSION

- Status of adiabaticity in the translation invariant lattice setting of fermionic/quantum spin systems,
- At zero temperature, with gap assumption: powerful result of Bachmann-De Roeck-Fraas.
- At positive temperature, the status is unclear, and the presented results suggest that novel frameworks/interpretations might be needed.
- Comparison with the recent preprint Greenblatt-Lange-Marcellino-Porta "Adiabatic Evolution of Low-Temperature Many-Body Systems".